# Generative Regularizers for Inverse Imaging Problems M. Duff<sup>1</sup>, N. D. F. Campbell<sup>2</sup>, I. J. A. Simpson<sup>3</sup> and <u>M. J. Ehrhardt<sup>1</sup></u> 1 Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, UK 2 Computer Science, University of Bath, UK



3 Department of Informatics, University of Sussex, UK

**contact:** m.ehrhardt@bath.ac.uk

### Motivation

• Many inverse problems (e.g. MRI) can be solved via variational regularization

 $x^* \in \arg\min_{x} \{D(Ax, y) + R(x)\}$ 

• How to get a good regularizer *R*?

## Comparison: End-to-end Learning

• Compare to Variational Network (VN) [3] trained for specific sampling and noise (indicated with red frame and dashed lines).





#### Generative Regularizers

• Given a generative model  $G : Z \rightarrow X$  (e.g. VAE, GAN), one can define a generative regularizer

$$R(x) = \inf_{z} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|x - G(z)\|_{2}^{2} + S(z) \right\}$$

• A variant with hard constraints has been used in [1]

 $R(x) = \inf_{z} \iota_{\{0\}}(x - G(z))$ 

- In both cases, **only the mean** of the distribution is modelled.
- Similar peak performance but proposed model generalizes better to unseen settings.

# Modelling the Covariance

• Motivated by [2] we use the regularizer

$$R(x) = \inf_{z} \left\{ \log \det(\Sigma(z)) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - G(z)\|_{\Sigma^{-1}(z)}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{2}^{2} \right\}$$



# Comparison: Other unsupervised methods

• Compare to [1] (Range) which restricts to the range.

• Compare to [4] (Narnhofer19) which uses an Inverse GAN.



Visualization of learned positive and negative covariance.



#### Comparison: Covariance Models

- Compare: constant diagonal (identity), varying diagonal (diagonal) and proposed (covar)
- Better than [1]. Similar to [4]. • Both [1] and [4] produce **smoother solutions**.

## Conclusions

- Advanced modelling of prior: covariance
- Unsupervised model: **no paired data** required
- Learning independent of inverse problem: generalization

References



• In any case the proposed model appears superior.

- [1] A. Bora, A. Jalal, E. Price and A. G. Dimakis. 'Compressed sensing using generative models'. *ICML* (2017), pp. 822–841.
- [2] G. Dorta, S. Vicente, L. Agapito, N. D. Campbell and I. Simpson. 'Structured Uncertainty' Prediction Networks'. CVPR (2018), pp. 5477–5485.
- [3] K. Hammernik, T. Klatzer, E. Kobler, M. P. Recht, D. K. Sodickson, T. Pock and F. Knoll. 'Learning a variational network for reconstruction of accelerated MRI data'. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 79.6 (2018), pp. 3055–3071.
- [4] D. Narnhofer, K. Hammernik, F. Knoll and T. Pock. 'Inverse GANs for accelerated MRI reconstruction'. SPIE-Intl Soc Optical Eng, 2019, p. 45.
- [5] M. Duff, I. J. A. Simpson, M. J. Ehrhardt and N. D. F. Campbell. 'Compressed Sensing MRI Reconstruction Regularized by VAEs with Structured Image Covariance' (2022).





The Leverhulme Trust

